

THE RECORD.

An Independent Newspaper.

PUBLISHED THURSDAYS BY
RECORD PRESS,
OWEN RICE, President. ORLEN L. ROARK, Secretary.

ORLEN L. ROARK, EDITOR.
Long-Distance Telephone, No. 72.
Office in new annex rear of store, ground floor.

50 CENTS PER YEAR, IN ADVANCE.

TERMS.
The subscription price of the paper is payable in advance, and when the time has expired to which it is paid, the paper will be stopped. Cards of thanks, obituary notices, etc., if not longer than ten lines, will be published free. A charge of 50c per line will be made for succeeding lines. No variation of this rule to anyone. Free sample copies will be mailed.
Advertisements will be inserted. A rate card will be furnished on request.
Addresses all communications and make all remittances payable to
RECORD PRESS,
Greenville, Ky.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1909.
Entered at the Greenville, Ky., postoffice as second-class matter.

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

FOR SHERIFF.
We are authorized to announce
WALTER C. KIMMEL
a candidate for Sheriff of Muhlenberg county, subject to the action of the Republican party.

FOR CIRCUIT COURT CLERK.
We are authorized to announce
C. S. CURD
a candidate for re-election to the office of Clerk of the Muhlenberg Circuit Court, subject to the action of the Republican party.

FOR COUNTY COURT CLERK.
We are authorized to announce
F. H. LEWIS
a candidate for re-election to the office of County Court Clerk of Muhlenberg county, subject to the action of the Republican party.

FOR JAILER.
We are authorized to announce
GEO. M. YORK
a candidate for re-election to the office of Jailer, subject to the action of the Republican party.

A Comparison of Lights and Water Between Western Kentucky Cities and Greenville.

In order that the citizens of Greenville may know how the price paid for street lighting and fire protection in this city compares with the amount paid for similar service in other cities in the Western part of Kentucky. I wish to show the following comparison between Mayfield, Ky., and Greenville.
The city of Mayfield which is pays the following prices for light and water:

82 Arc lamps @ \$96 per annum each
64 Fire plugs @ 60 " " "
53 " " @ 30 " " "
Light eng. house 60 " " "

The city of Greenville pays the following prices:

22 Arc lamps @ \$65 per annum each
8 Fire plugs @ 45 " " "
3 " " @ 35 " " "

Light hose house & City hall \$6 per an.
This comparison is made to show the citizens of Greenville that they are getting more for the money than other towns in this part of the state.
GREENVILLE LIGHT & WATER CO.
J. A. GILMAN, Mgr.

Public Speaking.

W. O. Belcher, Republican nominee for County Attorney, will address the voters at the following times and places:

Noffsinger School House, Thursday night, Oct. 14.
Morehead School House, Friday night, Oct. 15.

Mr. T. O. Jones, the Democratic nominee for County Attorney, is invited to be present and prove the charges made in the Democratic platform. An equal division of time will be granted him.

Merchants are all busy now selling seasonal goods, and several stores have added help during the past few weeks.

Highest prices will be paid for hoes by Coombs.



Male Help Wanted.

Man, unmarried, to help on small country place near town; general work, steady job; good home and fair wages. Give full particulars, and address W. F. J. care Record Office, Greenville, Ky.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT

Edited by Republican Campaign Committee.

REPUBLICAN TICKET



X
For Representative
J. P. LAWTON.
For Circuit Court Clerk
C. S. CURD.
For County Judge
R. O. PACE.
For County Court Clerk
F. H. LEWIS.
For County Attorney
W. O. BELCHER.
For Sheriff
W. C. KIMMEL.
For County Superintendent
J. E. SHAVER.
For Jailer
G. M. YORK.
For Coroner
J. H. BROWN.
For Surveyor
JULIAN W. ALLEN.
For Assessor
SHERMAN HAYS.
For Magistrates
First District—
W. R. WALLS.
Second District—
W. J. LONG.
Third District—
T. B. JOHNSTON.
Fourth District—
C. W. CISNEY.
Fifth District—
O. T. KITTINGER.
For Constable
First District—
C. W. DRAKE.
Second District—
J. R. COTTRELL.

Just put the seal on the top of the cabin and let it go at that.

Republicans should all remember that November 2nd is the day to vote.

Truth is mighty—scarce among the Democratic campaign managers now.

The Democratic campaign managers are issuing dodgers and their candidates are dodging the issues.

Every Democrat in Muhlenberg county has his use, but the Lord only knows what a good many of them are used for unless its for T. B. P.

Over-confidence has lost many an election. The election is never won until the last vote is cast. Each Republican should see to it that every other Republican goes to the polls and votes.

A Democratic campaign manager was heard to say the other day "we can't change the Negro's political opinion, but we can take a two dollar bill and change his vote." Who believes this? Nobody.

You had as well put a corset on a cow with the intention of making her give condensed milk as to get the citizens of Muhlenberg county to believe this old tale of "Democratic Reform." It's too old.

Rice and Jones say they are in the hands of the "committee." Who compose this "committee?" If they should be elected would they still be in the hands of the "committee?" If so the people are entitled to know who the "committee" is. If we were to guess we would guess that T. B. Pannell is chairman Treasurer and General Manager.

Is there a county in Kentucky that has made a more rapid improvement in the past ten years than has Muhlenberg? She has one of the best court houses in the state; her roads are in first class condition; her vast mineral resources are being developed; she has the best people in the world. The REFORM democrats say we need a change. Why not let well enough alone?

What kind of change do the democrats want? They want the offices

changed out of the other fellow's hands into theirs. Do they give any reason for a change? Why not go before the people and point out the specific things that need "reforming" and suggest a remedy and tell what they would do when elected and in the "hands of the committee."

Judge Pace says he stands ready to defend his administration and invites his opponent, Mr. Rice, to meet him for a joint discussion of the issues. But Jim says he is in the hands of the "committee," and we would advise that he stay close to it. If he were elected County Judge would he still be in the hands of the "committee?" If so, the people would like to know who compose that "committee?" (?)

A court house that cost \$75,000, built during this administration, one that is worth every cent it cost, one that every citizen of the county is proud of, built without the issue of bonds and without raising the tax rate, now more than half paid for, and during this time all the current expenses of the county paid seems to be a pretty good record. In the face of all this we are told by the "REFORM" Democratic candidates that we need a change.

W. O. Belcher, the Republican nominee for County Attorney, is compelled to speak without the presence of Mr. Jones, his opponent. If there is anything wrong with the present administration of affairs why do not some of the Democrats go before the voters and point out the wrong and suggest a remedy? This could best be done where the voter could hear both sides discussed at the same time. Why do the democrats object to doing this?

The taxpayers of the county should be congratulated upon having a set of county officials who have given them a clean honest record. The county's finances have been handled in an economical way. The county got value received for every dollar spent. The citizens of the county should feel proud of the county's condition, and the Republican party that this condition has been brought about by officials whom it placed in charge.

Mr. Jones is a lawyer, and if elected County Attorney, would be the Fiscal Court's legal advisor. Then why will he not meet Mr. Belcher for a discussion of these county matters and tell the taxpayers what kind of change he will recommend. If the people are to have a change they would like to know what kind of a change. It seems though that the "committee" has such a firm grip on him that he is powerless to extricate himself. May be the "committee" would be the legal advisor of the court.

The Argus says the Republican nominees should not be elected because of some judgments against Sparks and Rogers. By the same process of reasoning might it not be said that Jim Rice should not be elected because his brother Ben Rice as deputy sheriff and T. B. Pannell as sheriff fell short with the county several thousand dollars? The judgments against Sparks and Rogers were promptly paid, and neither Sparks or Rogers kept their property to their wives to deed from paying the judgments. Now will the "Committee?" examine the records and see if the same can be said of the other two gentlemen.

W. O. Belcher, the Republican nominee for County Attorney, stands ready to go before the taxpayers of the county and defend his acts as an official of the county. He invites Mr. Jones to meet him and present his side of the question. Jones says he is in the hands of the "committee." Who is the committee and why does it keep Mr. Jones so close under its wing? Possibly Mr. Jones has not been here long enough to become acquainted with Muhlenberg county politics. Possibly if he had Mr. Belcher at his own home, in North Carolina, he would have more courage.

Some criticism has been offered by the Argus as to the kind of advice given the Fiscal court in the

suits that were brought against Sparks, Rogers and Poole. There were four suits brought: two against Rogers and one each against Sparks and Poole. Mr. Belcher advised the court that the suits could not be maintained. One of the Rogers' cases was decided in favor of Rogers by the Circuit court and was appealed and affirmed. The other suit was decided against Rogers by the Circuit court and taken to the Court of Appeals and reversed. The suit against Mr. Poole was decided against him by the Circuit court and appealed and the Court of Appeals reversed it. The Circuit court decided against Sparks and he did not appeal. It may thus be seen that every case that was taken to the Court of Appeals was decided in favor of the advice given by Mr. Belcher, the County Attorney.

F. N. Isbell Comes Out Strong for Kimmel for Sheriff. This Old Line Republican Says the Nomination was Fair. Mr. Isbell Fought the Battles of Republicanism in Muhlenberg County When It Took Nerve To Do It. He Now Proposes to Give To Mr. Kimmel his Support. Kimmel Gaining, Roll Losing.

To The Voters of Muhlenberg County—I was a candidate for the republican nomination for the office of Sheriff of Muhlenberg County. I saw that if the race was run through there might be bitter feelings engendered and the success of the nominee at the poles in November endangered. Hence I with the three other candidates for sheriff, submitted my claim to a board of arbitration, three of whom were chosen by me, and three each by the other candidates for sheriff. This action was voluntary upon my part, and the republican committee nor any of the present county officials had anything to do with the submission of my claim to this board.

I believe that on account of long party service, I should have had the nomination, but the twelve men who settled the matter and for whom I have the highest regard, thought that the best interest of the republican party demanded Mr. Kimmel's nomination. I submit to their decision.

Respectfully submitted,
This Oct. 5 '09, F. N. ISBELL.

Roll and His Methods.

The Democratic candidates for county office realize fully that they cannot hope to win this election except that they blind and deceive the people, not only as to the present administration, but also as to their own political record. While each of them was nominated by a straight Democratic convention, on a platform written by a Democrat, and are in the hands of a Democratic campaign committee, they are endeavoring to impress upon the voters that this is a non partisan campaign. They have sought by every device known to the cunning and unscrupulous politician to prejudice the minds of the people against the present administration and have not hesitated to put into circulation the grossest falsehoods.

The most active and energetic of the Democratic candidates now in the field is T. L. Roll. Muhlenberg county, nor any other county ever had a ranker, bitterer partisan in it than this same Roll. He has been one of the most uncompromising and unreasonable Democrats in the county and has always thought anything he could do to assist the party was permissible. He is now going before the Republicans of the county and telling them that he voted against the Democratic ticket in 1890 and helped to elect the Republican ticket in that year because his own party had become corrupt. He has most industriously circulated this falsehood among the Republicans of the county seeking thereby to influence some of them to vote for him. The following affidavit of D. M. Robinson, one of Muhlenberg county's most respected citizens is evidence of Roll's conduct in this matter:

State of Kentucky,
Muhlenberg County.

The affiant, Dave Robinson, states that some time in the latter part of September, 1909, T. L. Roll, the Democratic nominee for Sheriff of Muhlenberg county came to him and solicited his support in the coming November election; that he used as one of the arguments why Republicans should vote for him was that "he helped turn the Democratic ring out in 1890 and that he voted

both ways in order to defeat the Democratic ticket."

D. M. ROBINSON.
Subscribed and sworn to before me by Dave Robinson this the 5th day of October, 1909.

E. C. SHULL, D. C.
M. Co. Court.

This is only one of the many affidavits that could be gotten from men to whom T. L. Roll has made this statement. The following official statement from the office of the clerk of the Muhlenberg County court, where the records of the election are kept, shows how and for whom Mr. Roll voted in the year 1890, this being the last year of open ballot:

State of Kentucky,
Muhlenberg County.

I, F. H. Lewis, Clerk of the Muhlenberg County Court, do hereby certify that I have examined the poll books of an election held in Muhlenberg county, Kentucky, on Aug. 4, 1890, and the poll of the Central City voting precinct shows on page 2, line 22 that T. L. Roll voted as follows:

For W. H. Yost, Jr., Judge of Court of Appeals.

For W. W. Longmoor, Clerk of Court of Appeals.

For S. P. Love, Delegate Constitutional convention.

For T. H. Jernigan, County Judge

For W. J. Ross, County Attorney

For W. T. Stiles, County Clerk.

For Jas. W. Oates, Supt. County Schools.

For Ben M. Rice, Sheriff.

For R. A. Payne, Jailer.

For J. E. Smith, Assessor.

For W. A. Mohon, Surveyor.

For P. L. O'Bryan, Coroner.

I further certify that the above named candidates were the regular Democratic nominees for said year, and that the said Roll voted the straight Democratic ticket in said election even down to the district offices.

This Oct. 11, 1909.
F. H. LEWIS, Clerk.

By B. F. GREEN, D. C.

Any man who seeks to gain an advantage by such methods as Roll is using is a dangerous man to trust with so important an office as that of Sheriff. If elected to this office Mr. Roll would be the third member of the election commission as well as present methods of campaigning warrants us in saying that he would not hesitate to do anything to promote the interest of his party.

His bitter partisanship is well illustrated by a remark that he made some years ago when Judge Yost, T. B. Pannell and some other Democrats were affiliating with the Republican party. In speaking of their attitude Mr. Roll said: "I have often heard preachers talk about the unpardonable sin, but I never knew what it was until I saw men like Yost and Wickliffe, who had been educated to know the difference between Democracy and Republicanism leave the Democratic party and vote with the Republicans. When I knock at the gate St. Peter may have several bad charges against me but he will never charge me with having voted the Republican ballot."

Look at Mr. Roll's conduct in this campaign, his deliberate effort to deceive the voters as to his strenuous partisanship and then consider the danger in having an Election Commission who put their services to their party above any other consideration, and ask yourself the question—"Can any Republican afford to cast a ballot for this man?"

Hon. T. J. Sparks will Speak at the Following Places and Times:

Oct. 14, Graham, 7 p. m.
" 15, Cleaton, "
" 16, Weir, 2 p. m.
" 18, South Carrollton, 7 p. m.
" 20, Bremen, 7 p. m.
" 22, Drakesboro, 7 p. m.
" 25, Hope Schoolhouse, 7 p. m.

Hon. R. O. Pace will Speak at the Following Places and Times:

Oct. 18, Greenbrier Schoolhouse, 7 p. m.
Oct. 19, Bethesda Schoolhouse, 7 p. m.
Oct. 20, Hunt's Schoolhouse, 7 p. m.
" 21, Union Ridge, 7 p. m.
" 22, Wyatt's Chapel, 7 p. m.

Mr. Jas. Rice is invited to share time at all above appointments, for a joint discussion of the issues of the campaign.

Muhlenberg Voters are following the issues of the campaign, and the records of officials will help our ticket.

Show Up Or Shut Up.

The convention that placed the so called "reformed" Democratic ticket in the held adopted a platform charging all kinds of fraud and mismanagement against the present county administration. Now the campaign is on and these democratic nominees, or their friends, have been called upon to go before the voters and prosecute these indictments, but they have backed down and skulked off and are absolutely refusing to be drawn into a discussion of the issues of the campaign.

The Argus rushes to the front and fires off its "Hoss Pistol" loaded with mud and filth and tries to fool the voters. It desires to know why a statement of the Fiscal affairs of the county has not been published. The Argus knows that the proceedings of the Fiscal Court have been published every year just as the law directs, giving each and every claim allowed, to whom allowed, the amount and for what purpose. The thing that hurts the Argus is that it did not get to publish the statements. The statements that have been published in THE RECORD are correct in every particular. These statements give a recapitulation showing the county's financial condition; the itemized statement having been published does not require a second publication. The 1800 claims referred to have been published giving every item. The pages where the orders are recorded are given in the statement and the claims are filed with the statements. If there is any thing wrong with the statement or the claims, will not some of the "reform" candidates examine them and specify what it is? The Argus might send some one to examine and point out the great wrongs complained of. Why not specify, and not be so general in your accusations?

The financial condition is the best ever known. In 1897 the rail road debt was near one million dollars, with the county listing two million of dollars worth of taxable property. This debt was a lien upon all the property of the county. If a man owned a farm worth one thousand dollars the bond holders had a lien upon his property for five hundred dollars, or fifty per cent of its value. That debt has all been settled, all uncompromised judgments have been paid, the interest has been paid to date. The present debt is \$190,000. There is in the hands of the receiver \$200,000, and owing from the county fund \$20,000, so there is a debt on \$190,000, less \$40,000, which amounts to \$150,000. This is three per cent of five millions which is the present assessed valuation of Muhlenberg county, and the farmer who owns the farm after the twelve years of republican rule in the county finds the lien reduced from \$500 to \$30 or from 50 per cent to three per cent.

During the present administration the county has paid all necessary running expenses, improved conditions of the roads, built a new court

house at a cost of \$75,000, \$40,000 of which has been paid, leaving a balance due on it of \$35,000. This has been done in three years and the taxes of 1909 have not yet been applied to the debt. There is on hand from previous levies more than \$4000.

The Argus complained that the claims have been presented for payment and refused by the treasurer. This is another attempt to mislead and deceive some one. The claims presented were payable out of the levy of 1909, and the treasurer had no right to pay them out of this fund. This fact was well known to the party presenting the claims, and the claims were presented for the purpose of manufacturing campaign thunder.

It was all planned by the "Reformed" committee. The voters are not so ignorant as to be caught by so flimsy an attempt as that. The claims that we presented were payable out of the levy of 1909 and the treasurer had no right to pay them out of funds derived from former levies. Why will not the Argus tell the truth? Force of habit is hard to overcome. We now challenge the "Reform" candidates to show any thing wrong with the financial condition of the county, or to show any inaccuracies in the statements that have been made in THE RECORD.

It is now time, "show up" or "shut up."

A Statement of Facts.

Notwithstanding the fact that the Fiscal Court has published a list of each and every claim that has been allowed after each of its semi-annual sessions as the law directs, the Argus with its characteristic audacity continues to ask why this thing has not been done. The Argus evidently has a number of editors and it does seem to us that in the aggregation there ought to be one of them who knows enough about the county's affairs to know that the law has been strictly complied with in the respect. It may be that these gentlemen are so very choice as to their reading matter that all the information which they have is obtained through the columns of the Argus. If this is so it would account for their ignorance in the matter, as the Fiscal Court has never seen proper to publish its proceedings through the columns of this delightful journal. One reason is because it has a better medium in the Greenville Record and the Central City Republican, and the other reason is because the Argus has never failed to hold the county up for every penny it could whenever the court has used its columns to publish any of its proceedings. The records of the Clerk's office will show that this paper, now clamoring for greater economy, has charged the county forty or fifty per cent more for the same work than any other paper in the county.

THE RECORD 50c. per year.

PUBLIC SALE AT AUCTION

5-ROOM HOUSE, 15 ACRES of LAND, HOUSEHOLD and KITCHEN FURNITURE, HORSE, MULE, WAGON, BUGGY, HARNESS, 15,000 POUNDS PEA HAY, FARMING IMPLEMENTS, &c.

At the late home of Z. G. Stovall, near Luzerne, 1/2 mile from Greenville depot, I will offer for sale at auction, to highest bidder, on

SATURDAY, OCT. 16, 1909,

beginning at 9 a. m. the above property. The house is new, with barn and outbuildings, and land is well located and productive.

TERMS:—All bids are cash in hand

Mrs. Z. G. Stovall